

**A NN** 

**OCEAN OBSERVING SYSTEM** 





### **Collaboration efforts between CarICOOS and NWS-San Juan**

**Roberto Garcia Meteorologist In-charge**



# **Marine Forecast Process**







# **Marine Zones**





• CariCOOS' efforts for expanding the buoy network and the implementation of a high resolution wave model (SWAN) at WFO San Juan allow us to increase from 5 to 10 marine zones to provide detail information of sea state in the surrounding waters of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.





## **Forecast Verification**

STORAL WEATHER SERV

**CRAWFOR COM** 

c

### **Internal Products**



# **Internal Products**

AND ATMOSPHERIC

**MOLLYSLEY** 

**Using CariCOOS Data (buoys and weather stations)**





#### **Virtual Buoy Forecast**

#### **Observations (last 24 hrs)**

**SCEC** 

**SCA** 



## **NOAA Collaboration**



### **NOAA Sea Grant (Puerto Rico)**

- **Project for Aquatic Safety in Puerto Rico.** 
	- The research was used to pick the most dangerous beaches in Puerto Rico for the Surf Zone Forecast (SRF).



### **NOAA IOOS (CariCOOS)**

- **Sea Grant funded CariCOOS to develop a Nearshore Breaking Wave Model**
	- The breaking wave height equation is currently used to calculate the surf height inside of the SRF.





### **Surf Zone Forecast**



#### **Beaches**

Selected using the Sea Grant Project for Aquatic Safety in PR

### **Breaking Wave Height**

Use the equation from the CariCOOS-Sea Grant Breaker Model

### **Rip Current Risk**

The rip current risk is calculated using the wind speed, wind direction, wave height and wave period from our local NWPS/SWAN model at 500 meters.

#### **Weather Forecast**

Use the official weather forecast prepare by WFO San Juan





# **Collaborative Research**



#### CariCOOS: Real-time data validation of highresolution wind forecast

Jaynise M. Pérez<sup>1</sup> Luis D. Aponte-Bermúdez, Ph.D., P.E<sup>2</sup> Julio M. Morell, M.S.<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics. <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering and Surveying, Department of Marine Sciences University of Puerto Rico-Mayagüez Mayagüez, PR 00682 jaynise.perez@upr.edu. luisd.aponte@upr.edu. julio.morell@upr.edu

Ernesto Rodríguez, M.S.<sup>4</sup> <sup>4</sup>San Juan, PR Weather Forecast Office National Weather Service Carolina, PR 00979 emesto.rodriguez@noaa.gov

Abstract- CariCOOS has implemented a mirror run of the operational numerical weather prediction model employed by the National Weather Service Weather Forecast Office San Juan (NWS WFO SJU), which is based on the WRF NMM model. The model configuration consists of a one-way nesting of two domains with a horizontal resolution of 6-lan and 2-lan, respectively. CariCOOS developed a single domain configuration of the WRF NMM model having a finer horizontal spat general, are discrete approximations in space and time of a continuous geophysical medium being simulated. The solutions to these models are not an exact representation of reality. The goal is to keep track of the relative ability of a model to make accurate predictions. A real-time validation MATLAB® script, for numerical weather prediction (NWP), was developed to assist CariCOOS end-users in comparing the forecasts to actual data. The validation of these models was conducted by comparing in-situ wind observations  $(O_i)$ , of CariCOOS observing network, with model forecast  $(M_i)$ . Time histories plots of in-situ and modeled wind speed and direction are compared in real time; while also solving statistical algorithms with various validation parameters as outputs. The statistical parameters included in the real-time validation tool are: (i) the Pearson's moment correlation coefficient (r), (ii) mean bias (MB), (iii) mean absolute gross error (M4GE), (iv) root mean square error (RMSE), (v) Willmott index of agreement (IOA) and (vi) hit<br>rate (HR). This useful real-time validation tool allows NWS WFO SJU forecasters and CariC the model and quantify the error to determine future needs for modeling enhancements. The real-time validation framework developed the moon and quality are error to use many validation date range, which can vary from days to months. The validation skill appraisal revealed noticeable improvement in the forecasting for both wind speed and direction by the WRF-IKM when compared to the WRF-2KM and the NWS National Digital Forecast Database (NDFD) operational product. Typically the most for weather stations in regions surrounded by complex topographic features,, particularly the lee side of islands. These findings suggest that the 1-km model resolves better the local orographic forcing generated by the fine-scale topography, typical of the coastal meteorology of Caribbean islands. The improvement in forecasting 10-m winds is due to a better depiction of the 1-km resolution model meteorology or cannotennessames. Are mapprovement in to examing form which is one to a better repletion of the Fram resolution model<br>of complex terrain. These findings may lead to better understanding of local convection p

Keywords-WRF model; high-resolution; ioos; caricoos

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Validation of a numerical model is an important aspect of the implementation process and operational phase. Model skill performance can be measured as how well the model results compare with reliable in-situ observations or predicted events. The Caribbean Coastal Ocean Observing System (CariCOOS) has implemented a mirror run of the operational numerical weather prediction (NWP) model employed by the National Weather Service Weather Forecast Office San Juan (NWS WFO SJU), which is based on the Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) model. The WRF model system contains two dynamical cores, referred to as the ARW (Advanced Research WRF) [1] and the NMM (Non-hydrostatic Mesoscale Model) [2]; the first developed at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), and the second at the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP). The NWS WFO SJU currently employs WRF NMM model since it provides faster simulations run when compared to WRF ARW core, making it appropriate for operational forecasting. Also, both WRF core models are fit for use in a broad range of applications across scales ranging from meters to thousands of kilometers. The model configuration implemented by the NWS WFO SJU consists of a one-way nesting of two domains with a horizontal resolution of 6-km and 2-km, respectively, for the parent and child domains. Employing similar model setup, CariCOOS implemented a single domain WRF model configuration

with a horizontal spatial resolution of 1 km. Both model configurations are implemented with 45 vertical levels. Boundary and initial conditions are driven by the Global Forecast System (GFS) and high-resolution sea surface temperature (SST) from NASA Short-term Prediction Research and Transition Center (SPoRT). These models, in general, are discrete approximations in space and time of a continuous geophysical medium being simulated. The solutions to these models are not an exact representation of reality. The fundamental problem lies in the numerical approximation to solve the complex Navier-Stokes equations used to simulate atmospheric flows. Our goal is to keep track of the discrepancy level in order to improve future model skill performance. The real-time validation results presented in this paper focus on current operational products by CariCOOS and NWS WFO SJU. These models are referenced as WRF NMM 2KM, WRF NMM 1KM and NWS NDFD operational product [3].

#### **II** METHODOLOGY

The validation of modeled forecast  $(M_i)$  from the WRF model configuration and NWS NDFD are validated using in-situ wind observations ( $O_i$ ) from CariCOOS Observing Network, shown in Figure 1. CariCOOS observing network consist of 5 buoys equipped with meteorological instrumentation [4] and fifteen land-based meteorological stations. The land base mesonet stations were deployed by Weather Flow Inc. (13 sites) and CariCOOS (2 sites). Additional details of CariCOOS assests are presented in [5].



Figure 1. CariCOOS Observing Network of 5 meteorological buoys and 15 land-based weather stations

The validation methodology employed consisted in comparing in-situ observations to 10-m wind model simulation, for this task it is necessary to adjust the observation to a 10-m elevation following the logarithmic law, where  $U_{10}$  is the adjusted wind speed,  $U_z$  is the in-situ observation at elevation z (in m/s) from ground level or meansea-level at buoys, and  $z_0$  is the terrain roughness (in m). Terrain roughness values were assigned to each site following recommended published values [6]. After all in-situ observations are adjusted by elevation, an hourly mean average is computed and compared to model outputs interpolated to each site's GPS coordinate.

$$
U_{10} = U_x \frac{\ln\left(\frac{10}{x_0}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)}\tag{1}
$$

A MATLAB<sup>®</sup> script was developed as a toolbox to enable real-time validation of NWP models. The main tasks performed were: (i) gathering of all model data, (ii) gathering of all in-situ observations and compute statistical parameters, and (iii) disseminate graphical result thru CariCOOS web portal. The first task involves accessing model data from CariCOOS DMAC Catalogue THREDDS Data Server (TDS) [7] and interpolating it to each in-situ GPS



## **Case Studies**

**Winter Swell Events**





**Observations (last 24 hrs) NDBC/CarICOOS Buoy Data** 



## **Post-Storm Reports**





### **CariCOOS/WeatherFlow stations are used in Post-Storm Reports**







## **Interagency Collaboration**



- **CariCOOS** and **NWS San Juan** have worked together on several projects since 2009.
- A key goal of this collaboration is to improve forecasts of meteorological and oceanographic phenomena by speeding up the transfer of new technology and research ideas into forecast operations. This is accomplished by combining the skills and mutual research interests of scientists and forecasters.